Errata

1. p. 25: The reference to McCormack et al. 2008 should be omitted.
2. p. 34 – 35: The correct n in Figure 13 is 843, in Figure 14: 847, and in Figure 15: 846.
3. p. 36: Table 2, last row, the n is 842.
4. p. 49: Table 6 and Table A1, study 3: The correct 95% CI regarding duration for women in the suburban area is 30 – 35.5.
5. p. 65: and p. 9, study 2: The correct regression equation is: Y = 9.67 + 0.477 greenery − 0.229 noise – 0.161 course of the route (all p-values < 0.011).
6. p. 85: The last sentence in the third paragraph should be omitted.
7. p. 92 and p. 29, study 4: “flow of motor vehicles and ” should be omitted from the sentence beginning with “The opposite is true”. NB regarding noise: it was not a significant difference between men who had walked in both areas (p = 0.176).
8. p. 96: “resided in a neighbourhood with low walkability” should replace “were forced to live in a car-oriented area” in the sentence beginning with “An example of this”.
10. p. 10, Table 3, study 4: MRA 1 is in Table 6, MRA 3 in Table 7, MRA 5 in Table 8, MRA 6 in Table 9 and MA 1 – 4 in Table 10.
11. p. 29, study 4: The references in the first paragraph should be placed prior to the last sentence.