Review of childhood pain highlights the role of negative stress
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ABSTRACT
Aim: Recurrent pain of unknown origin is a major problem in children. The aim of the present review was to examine the hypothesis of negative stress as an aetiology of recurrent pain from different aspects.

Methods and Results: Epidemiological studies, clinical experience and hormonal data give support for such a hypothesis. Negative stress as a tentative aetiology for recurrent pain is reviewed. Stress, muscular tension, the startle reaction and its tentative relation to pain is illuminated. Deviations of hormonal secretion supporting a stress aetiology are mentioned. The role of central sensitisation for recurrent pain is discussed. Possible aetiologial implications of recurrent pain as a local symptom or a general disorder are presented. Brain changes due to stress are shortly reviewed. Stress and pain in the clinic are highlighted. The importance of biological, psychological and social factors, as well as genetic elements, is discussed.

Conclusion: Stress elicits neurobiological mechanisms. They may lead to many neurophysiological deviances. Increase of muscle tension and neuromuscular excitability and enhanced startle reaction may be of importance for recurring pain. The identification of stress as a primary cause of recurrent pain can have huge implications for understanding signs and treatment in clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION
Recurrent pain of unknown origin is a major medical problem in children (1–4) affecting their daily activity (5). The starting point for the present review is a recent electromyographical study showing that there is an elevated resting muscle activity in children with recurrent pain related to negative stress. In addition, the study showed enhanced startle reaction with a decreased latency, increased response and duration in different muscles (6).

Key notes
- The possible importance of negative stress in the aetiology of recurrent pain is explored, and epidemiological studies, clinical experience and hormonal data give support for such a hypothesis.
- How negative stress affects central nervous system is also explored, with focus on increased muscle tone and startle reflexes.
- The identification of stress as a primary cause of pain can have huge implications for clinical understanding and treatment.

Abbreviations
CAPS, Centrally mediated disorders of gastrointestinal pain; EMG, Electromyography; FGID, Functional gastrointestinal disorder; PTSD, Post-traumatic stress disorder.
The main aim of this review is to examine the hypothesis that negative stress can lead to recurrent pain. The importance of central sensitisation in recurrent pain and the possible aetiologial implications of recurrent pain as a local or of a general disorder are discussed. Muscular tension, brain changes, and pain and its relation to the startle reaction are highlighted. The biopsychosocial concept viewed as the cause of recurrent pain will also be discussed.

To cover the concept of recurrent pain of negative stress aetiology, the psychosomatic pain concept will be used. Psychosomatic is according to Dorland Illustrated Medical Dictionary pertaining to the mind-body, relationship having body symptoms of psychic, emotional or mental origin (7).

**The hypothesis that negative stress causes recurrent pain**

Negative stress was defined by Hans Selye (8). According to him, it is the sum of the biological reactions to any adverse stimulus, physical, mental, or emotional, internal or external, compensating reactions be inadequate in inappropriate, they may lead to disorders. However, this definition has not been generally accepted. Today, we know that the stress reaction has the amygdala as an important centre (9), that the right anterior cingulate cortex plays a pivotal regulating role (Fig. 1) and that the anterior insula cortex is a centre for the feeling of stress (Craig).

The discussion in the literature of how negative stress can be a possible aetiological agent for recurrent pain can be broken down into the three following sections below. In the first, stress was as a tentative hypothesis. In the second, stress as a primary aetiology was denied. During recent years, epidemiological and clinical studies strengthen the hypothesis of negative stress as a cause of recurrent pain.

**Stress as a tentative hypothesis**

As early as in 1913, the German physician Ernst Moro published a paediatric study of 18 cases of recurrent abdominal pain with co-morbidity of pains in leg, head and back (10). He claimed that they were suffering from essentially psychogenic conditioned states. This hypothesis was strengthened some decades later by several studies indicating that stress, anxiety and psychosocial strain might cause recurrent pain (11–14). In a review concerning recurrent abdominal pain of possible psychogenic factors, 21 out of 23 studies gave support for a psychosomatic origin, but provided no clear-cut answers (15).

In the 1990s, Alfvén (16,17) suggested that negative stress can increase muscular tension and muscular pain sensitivity, causing psychosomatic pain. A potentiated startle reflex was suggested as a possible mechanism with an increase of muscular tension and neuromuscular excitability (16,17). This was recently corroborated in physiological experiments (6).

**Rejection of stress as a primary aetiology**

Although epidemiological and clinical studies supported the hypothesis that negative stress causes recurrent pain in the absence of well-documented evidence with measures verifying the suggested pathophysiological mechanisms, distrust of this hypothesis increased. The nonorganic pain concept, meaning that no mechanism had been established, was re-named to functional pain (18) another obscure term. In the influential Rome II Diagnostic Criteria for Childhood Functional Gastrointestinal Disorder, the paediatric working team announced that ‘Despite the fact that evidence for visceral hyperalgesia in children with functional abdominal pain remains preliminary, we are currently using this theory to explain the pathogenesis of pain, in order to alleviate parents’ feelings of guilt and concern that the problem is psychological’ (18). This denial of stress as a possible cause also influenced attitudes to the aetiology of other forms of recurrent pain.

During the following more than two decades, the possibility of stress as an aetiology has had little support from leading scientists (18,19), and stress has been mentioned as only associated with pain. The vague and all-inclusive biopsychosocial concept became the main aetiologial explanation for recurrent pain (18,19).

In Rome IV, the biopsychosocial aetiology of functional pain, including dyspepsia and irritable colon, is defined. They describe that although cultural background,
odds ratios for children 15–16 years old with mental distress, measured with 10 items during the previous week, and pain complaints in various body regions. The odds ratio is a measure of association between an exposure – here mental distress – and an outcome – here pain locations. For girls and boys, respectively, the odds ratios for pain region were headache 2.7 and 3, neck/shoulder 3.4 and 3.6, arm/leg 2.2 and 5.4, stomach 3.1 and 2.9 and back 3.0 and 4.0. For 3–5 pain localisations, the odds ratios were 7.5 and 14.8 for girls and boys, respectively (21).

Østerås et al 2015 found severe pain as reported from head, neck, shoulder, back, arms and legs in a sample comprising 422 adolescents (218 females) aged 15 and 16 years. In both genders, there were significant correlations (p < 0.01) between all forms of continuous pain and stress variables. Stress was measured with the Perceived Stress Questionnaire, a validated instrument for measuring perceived stress in an adolescent population (22).

Huguet et al 2016 found in a meta-analysis combining seven studies that children with higher levels of negative emotional states were more likely to develop musculoskeletal pain than those with lower levels. The cohort included 10 922 children, odds ratio 1.54; 95% CI 1.06–2.24 (23).

Teodorescu et al 2015 found a very high occurrence of stomach pain, chest pain, arms/limb pains, back pain, headache in multi-traumatised outpatients with a refugee background. Of the 61 outpatients included, all but one reported at least one chronic pain location, with a mean of 4.6 locations per patient. An amount of 70% had post-traumatic stress disorder. The most prevalent chronic pain locations were head (80%), chest (74%), arms/legs (66%), back (62%) and stomach (57%). Women had significantly more chronic pain locations than men (24).

Stensland et al 2018, in a matched control study of adolescent survivors from the Utøya terror attack in Norway, with 213 (59%) respondents, found the odds ratio for migraine to be 4.27 (95% CI 2.54–7.17) and for tension headache 3.39 (95% CI 2.22–5.18) largely related to an increase in weekly and daily headaches (25).

Being exposed to bullying was associated with an increased risk of perceived stress (OR = 3.06, 95% CI 1.55, 6.03), as well as recurrent pain (OR = 3.39, 95% CI 1.62, 7.09) (26).

In a cross-sectional study based on data linked to national household surveys in Sweden during 2002–2003, information concerning harassment, perceived stress, headache and abdominal pain was gathered from a questionnaire. The study population consisted of 2597 children aged 10–18 years. Perceived stress and recurrent pain were measured using the item ‘felt stressed and pain’ at least once a week during the previous six months. For bullying, almost every day, the odds ratios for perceived stress were 3.60 (2.34–5.55), for headache 1.45 (0.88–2.39), abdominal pain 1.98 (1.07–3.68) and co-occurrence of pain 4.62 (2.26–5.11). Pain intensity and number of pain locations increases with more stress (27) (Fig. 2).

The cross-sectional studies show that stress correlates to recurrent pain. Although it cannot be judged from cross-sectional studies whether stress is the cause or the effect, the three latter studies mentioned above (25–27) show that the stress, that is bullying and harassment, can cause pain; as the opposite relation is not probable.

Three of the above-mentioned studies had perceived stress as a stress parameter. Perceived stress is the conscious feeling of stress, for which the anterior insula cortex is
involved (28). The last above-mentioned study also shows that an increased level of stress leads to an increased number of pain locations (27). This relation is discussed below.

Based on Karl Popper’s hypothetical-deductive method (29), Alfvén has developed criteria for psychosomatic pain. In a clinical study, 48 out of 100 cases of abdominal pain – 65 per cent of them having headache – fulfilled the criteria and the diagnosis (30). The diagnosis of psychosomatic pain is based on seven criteria: (i) Onset or aggravation of chronic negative stress at the time of onset of recurrent pain. (ii) Pain in parallel with chronic negative stress. (iii) Feeling better or pain-free during periods of no or lessened chronic negative stress. (iv) Stress-induced acute pain. (v) Most pain attacks are related to acute stress. (vi) The child is followed up for at least 1 year. (vii) Parents and child and doctor agree on diagnosis. At least six of the seven criteria have to be met for diagnosis and other disorders must be excluded.

These criteria have been applied in several studies, and the diagnosis psychosomatic pain has been established (6,30–33).

In children with psychosomatic pain, hormone cortisol is higher (32) and oxytocin lower (33) than in controls according to studies. This is signs of a right anterior cingulate cortex activated by stress according to Craig (28).

In conclusion, epidemiological data, case studies meeting criteria for psychosomatic pain and hormonal deviation, suggest that negative stress is a primary aetiological agent of recurrent pain.

Neuromuscular mechanisms for recurrent pain of negative stress origin

In a case–control study, 21 matched healthy controls were compared to 19 children (6) fulfilling the criteria for psychosomatic pain. These children had a pain duration in mean of 37 months. All reported recurrent headache, 18 abdominal pain, five backache and three shoulder pain.

The acoustic startle reaction was elicited by a short signal of white noise of 105 dB via ear-phones on several occasions, and the response measured with electromyography (EMG). The muscles studied were the orbicularis oculi, temporal, trapezius, great pectoral near shoulder, abdominal wall near the umbilicus and the lumbar erector spinae, that is sites for recurrent pain and stress tender points (Fig. 3 A). All but one of the children had nine tender points, the exception having seven (6).

In the psychosomatic pain group, compared to controls, EMG in these muscles in different parts of the body showed increased resting activity and thus a continuous barrage throughout each day. The startle burst was initiated with a reduced latency, and the amplitude was larger as was the duration of the burst in children with recurrent psychosomatic pain compared to a control group (Fig. 4). In conclusion, in muscles in which recurrent psychosomatic pain is common and stress tender points occur, the startle reaction is potentiated and more easily elicited in psychosomatic pain children, as is the resting activity. These findings suggest that this reaction may be of importance for stress-induced recurrent pain in children, showing evidence for increased neuromuscular excitability both with higher resting activity in several muscles and potentiated startle reactions with earlier, higher and longer muscle answers, as compared to controls.

Central sensitisation and recurrent pain

Woolf in his review of central sensitisation conclude that the induction of activity-dependent increases in synaptic function in these circuits, triggered and maintained by
dynamic nociceptor inputs, shifts the sensitivity of the pain system such that normally innocuous inputs can activate it and the perceptual responses to noxious inputs are exaggerated, prolonged and spread widely (34). Thus, central sensitisation is a mechanism to consider for the understanding of recurrent pain.

The possibility that central pain sensitisation is a primary cause of recurrent pain has been raised (35), but so far, no clear evidence has been presented. It has been demonstrated in several studies that peripheral pain comes first and the central sensitisation later. Gunnarsson et al 2016 found an increased deep pain sensitivity in patients with persistent musculoskeletal pain, but not in regularly recurrent pain patients or in acute pain (36). In a headache study, signs of sensitisation were secondary to the primary pain (37).

In a study of 47 children (6- to 17-year-old) with abdominal pain of a mean duration 29 months (31), five children reported only abdominal pain, 25 had two locations of pain, seven children three, six children four and two children five different locations. The number of pain locations correlated significantly with the duration of the pain ($R = 0.35, p < 0.05$). Of 44 children, 27 had sign of allodynia in the abdominal pain area tested with the Nipple test. The test is done by nipping a layer of a small area of the cutis / subcutis between thumb and index finger, with short fingernails, with a pressure calibrated to below approximately 125 kPa/cm². This does not normally elicit pain. A positive pain response is considered a sign of allodynia and of central sensitisation (31). This study indicates that pain sensitisation may be common in this group of children having more pain locations and being a secondary phenomenon to longer pain.

### Aetiological implications of the occurrence of one or several pains

Whether the pain has primarily one location or several is a question of importance in the search for the aetiology of recurrent pain. If only one pain location is identified – and others actively dismissed – a local disorder is more probable. Several pain locations favour a general condition such as a negative stress origin under a prolonged time.

In many studies, only one location is in focus, as for instance with headache (38,39), abdominal pain (18,19) and back pain (40,41). The question of recurrent pains in more than one site is often not raised, although this is common according to many studies. The co-occurrence of pain was demonstrated statistically for the first time in children 1993 (42) and corroborated by Petersen et al in 2006 (43).

Not long ago, the chronic pain concept musculoskeletal pain excluded abdominal pain and headache (44). However, a change of attitude is underway, and it is now stated that ‘Chronic pain affects the entire nervous system’ according to the American Pain Society (45). This also affects abdominal pain and headache.

Multiple pain at different locations often occurs in psychosomatic pain (6,30,31). In the search for the origin of pain, the finding of multiple pain locations, related to muscles activated by the startle reflex, may be a support for a negative stress aetiology. The startle reaction affects muscles in the head temporal region, neck, shoulder, abdomen and back.

Multiple recurrent pain has many different aetiologies and trauma with pain spread, rheumatic, endocrine or metabolic diseases should always be considered.

---

**Figure 4** Average for all eight startle sound events together (no other stress provocation), including all six muscles (Alfven et al 2017 (6), for: (A) Mean amplitude (µV) during 200 ms from OR-activity-start and during burst, (B) Burst duration and latency (ms) from sound to muscle-activity-start (≥10 µV), (C) Average amount of bursts: mean of 1.0 = all muscles burst (peak ≥ 10 µV) and 2.0 = no muscle had burst (peak ≥ 10 µV) in any of the eight stimuli. PAIN versus CON showed significant differences (star). (OR = orbicularis oculi).
Stress, muscular tension, brain regions, pain and its relation to the startle reaction

In the review *Psychosomatic pain in children: a psychomuscular tension reaction?* (16), the author suggested that muscular tension has a central role for the origin of recurrent pain of stress origin, a hypothesis corroborated in the controlled startle study measuring several muscles at different locations with EMG (6). The amygdala, an important centre of stress (9), can be activated by mental stress from the anterior cingulate cortex, a neurobiological centre for motivation and behaviour (28). The amygdala may influence the muscular system via the basal ganglia and nuclei in the brain stem such as the periaqueductal grey (46) and the caudal reticulopontine nucleus, regulating the startle reflex (Fig. 1).

Thus, chronic stress may cause an increased neuromuscular excitability (both under resting conditions and during provocation tests such as the startle reflex) via altered descending neural activity from higher brain centres, such as the anterior cingulate cortex and the amygdala (6,28,46).

Increased muscular tension might be further potentiated by various muscle metabolites (such as bradykinin, prostaglandins, arachidonic acid, potassium and lactic acid) contributing to eliciting a pain response (47–50). An alternative possibility for muscular psychosomatic pain is a lowered pain threshold via hyperalgesic priming elicited by stress (51).

The increased neuromuscular tension and excitability in children exposed to prolonged stress with recurrent pain may be due to a change of activity in a variety of brain regions. Prolonged stress has been reported to make amygdala overactive and decrease the prefrontal cortex control over amygdala (52). Stress-induced increased levels of cortisol decreases the volume of hippocampus, which impairs memory (53). Children with post-traumatic stress disorder (PSTD) can have a smaller brain volume and frontal lobe changes (54), and imbalance between salience and default-mode network (55). PTSD individuals show brain hyperconnectivity in emotional processing, with a heightened response to threatening stimuli in thalamus and several cortex areas (56). These facts are of interest regarding the potentiated startle reaction found among children with recurrent pain due to prolonged stress (6).

Early life stress in childhood predicts an increased thalamic global connectivity, which can explain observations of multiple network disruption (57). Fear-related phobia-specific pictures increases activity not only in amygdala and thalamus, but also in the anterior cingulate cortex, insular cortex and supplementary motor cortex (58). Adopted children with early life stress show, during peer rejection, altered neural response in various brain regions, including thalamus, and more intense feelings of exclusion and frustration than controls (59). Thus, the thalamo-amygdala and cortical regions can be involved in a dynamic interplay, which can be disturbed, also seen in various neuropsychiatric syndromes (60).

Acoustic, visual and other sensory input occurring in stress/fear reactions (such as the startle) can be transmitted directly from thalamus to amygdala without passing frontal cortex (61). In situations requiring a rapid organisation of defensive or other emotional responses, thalamic sensory inputs to the amygdala can therefore offer transmission with a minimal delay (61). However, different repetitive and prolonged stressful events have been reported to be associated with changes of activity, connectivity and size in various brain regions. Several of these proven changes may be related to the stress-induced recurrent pain and the associated increased neuromuscular excitability and startle reactions. Further research is here needed to study various closer connections between different neurophysiological findings and signs in medical practice.

Muscle stress in clinical practice

Clinical practice is facilitated if negative stress signs in the body are actively searched for. An activated startle reflex results in a typical pattern of tense muscles and crouching (Fig. 4A). In long-standing stress, it will often appear a pattern of tender points (Fig. 4A), (30–33) and recurrent pain in a similar pattern such as headache, abdominal pain, neck and backache and limb pain. Other expressions of negative stress such as symptoms of disturbed appetite, disturbed intestinal activity with constipation and/or diarrhoea, nausea and dizziness are also often found. A positive nipping test, (31), easy to apply, a sign of central sensitisation, can increase the understanding of pain in clinical practice.

The biopsychosocial concept

The denial of negative stress as a possible aetiological factor cleared the way for the all-inclusive aetiological term biopsychosocial, a term that can be applied to all diseases from allergy to cancer, from Crohn’s disease to post-traumatic stress disorder. This aetiological truism has hampered the search for the cause of recurrent pain, a search that should try to respond to the questions: What was the primary factor starting the disorder? What made it continue? and, if this factor is alleviated, does the symptom disappear? Questions that more specifically address provoking and exacerbating factors may help elucidate concrete and varied causes of recurrent pain such as allergy, infection and stress. This approach was fundamental for the choice of the criteria for psychosomatic pain.

A new sub-diagnosis for functional abdominal pain was established in Rome IV and termed *Centrally Mediated Disorders of Gastrointestinal Pain* (CAPS) (35). The structural brain deviations found in CAPS are similar as in toxic stress (62,63). Toxic stress response can occur when a child experiences strong, frequent and/or prolonged adversity – such as physical or emotional abuse and chronic neglect from caregiver, substance abuse or mental illness, exposure to violence, and/or the accumulated burdens of family economic hardship – without adequate adult support (62).

Children with a history of toxic stress have an exaggerated stress response due to a hyperactive amygdala and as a result of hypotrophy of the prefrontal cortex diminished...
top-down control. As a consequence of hippocampal reduction, they have impaired memory and mood control. Children can as a consequence of severe stress develop multiple pains, secondary central pain sensitisation, pain spread and in the long run fibromyalgia (31,44).

This indicates that a trajectory of toxic negative stress with multiple pains and the development of brain damage could be a cause for the children suffering from CAPS. Seven of the 47 children in the recurrent pain study mentioned above had a history of severe/toxic stress and fulfilled the criteria for fibromyalgia (31). Fibromyalgia is diagnosed on the basis of the presence of at least 11 of 18 well-defined tender points (TP) in musculoskeletal structures near the tendons, together with spread pain (64).

Genetic aspects regarding pain

Pain is an important biological warning signal that can be triggered by stress and a multitude of other factors. There is a genetic and epigenetic variation of pain sensitivity (65,66) as for all types of interoceptive signal. Hunger and pain to well-being and pleasure vary with the genetic set-up and epigenetic factors. Interceptive signals are influenced by past and present biological, psychological and social circumstances. Interceptive signals influence homeostasis and in the long-term survival. These different factors explain susceptibility or resistance to pain, but not the pain per se. ‘...pain is the perceptual correlate of a behavioural motivation that results from integrated homeostatic sensory activity indicating an imbalanced physiological condition that the automatic homeostatic response mechanisms cannot rectify’, writes Craig (28). The sensitivity to such a warning signal may differ, but not knowing the cause of pain is not an indication of a pain without a cause, only of a lack of knowledge.

CONCLUSION

This review further strengthens the evidence that negative stress can cause recurrent pain. Based on growing neurobiological, epidemiological, clinical and experimental data, we propose that negative stress affects the different parts of central nervous system including the anterior cingulate cortex and the amygdala and affects perception, behaviour, the autonomic nervous system, hormones, cytokines and the central and peripheral neuromuscular system. Of special interest are the increased muscle tone and neuromuscular excitability and the potentiated startle reactions occurring in several muscles, which may contribute to pain, as well as underlying neurobiological mechanisms. Pain is an interceptive warning signal of a homeostatic disturbance and increases with increased stress. Central pain sensitisation is a next warning step that can influence spread of pain and in the end lead to various diseases, for example fibromyalgia. The identification of stress as a primary cause of pain has huge implications for the clinical practice, regarding understanding of specific diagnostic signs as well as developing relevant treatment. Thus, the understanding of stress and its consequences for body and brain are a prerequisite for preventing progressions of negative health effects, and for developing effective therapeutic means.
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